

CONFIDENTIAL PEER REVIEW DOCUMENT Procedural/Surgical Evaluation Form

This form is to be completed by the proctor and submitted to the Department Credentials Committee

Name of practitioner being reviewed: Name of proctor conducting review: Date:							MRN: Procedure Date:				
							Review Type \square Prospective \square Concurrent \square Retrospective				
							:: Diagnosis:				
rocedi	ure: _									_	
omplic	ations	s:								_	
LEAS	E ANS	SWER A	LL OF	THE FOLLOW	ING: If the ans	wer to	any of the following is	"no", please a	ttach an expland	ation	
Yes	No	N/A									
			Was	the indication f	or the procedure	appropr	riate and documented?				
			Was	the practitione	r's documentation	locumentation appropriate and informative? If NO,					
			□ Documentation not present □ Documentation timed and date						ated		
	\rightarrow		Documentation not adequate					□ Documentation illegible			
				Documentation	does not substant	iate clii	nical course & treatmen	cal course & treatment			
Was the use of diagnostic services (e.g., lab, x-ray, invasive diagnost											
			Was the practitioner's proposed procedural technique appropriate?								
	Were the practitioner's contingency plans appropriate?										
Was there documentation of site marking/time out?											
Did the pre-operative diagnosis coincide with pos							postoperative findings?)			
	Was postoperative care adequate?										
Was the operative report complete, accurate, and ti							, and timely?				
			Were complications, if any, recognized and managed appropriately?								
			Did the practitioner interact and communicate appropriately with the patient, family and staff?								
			OUTCOME								
			Was there an adverse outcome? If YES,								
\rightarrow			□ minor adverse outcome (complete recovery expected)								
			□ major adverse outcome (complete recovery NOT expected)								
			□ death								
			OVERALL IMPRESSION OF CARE PROVIDED								
	\rightarrow		Were you comfortable with all aspects of care provided by the practitioner? If NO, attach comments								
			Practitioner's skill & competence — Acceptable — Unacceptable — Unable to evaluate								
					11	N 144	D : A		11	A1/2	
	Asses medi	<u>sment</u> cal know	edne	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A	Basic Assessment Communication skills	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A	
		Clinical s					Professionalism			+	
		gment					Use of consults				
		nal skills	1							05/01/09	